

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

7 * * *

8 JAIRO ARTURO OSORIO-ENRIQUEZ; and
9 LOIS OSORIO, Husband and Wife,

10 Plaintiffs,

11 v.

12 JERRY FORTENBERRY; *et al.*,

13 Defendants.

Case No. 2:14-cv-00322-APG-VCF

Order

14 Defendants C.R. England, Inc. and Jerry Fortenberry ("Removing Defendants") removed
15 this case to federal court on February 28, 2014. The removing party has the burden of proving
16 that removal is proper, and that this court may properly assert jurisdiction over the parties and
17 dispute. In their Statement Regarding Removal [Dkt. #5], the Removing Defendants allege that:

18
19 Upon information and belief, Defendant The Contractors of C.R. England is an
Association and not a legal entity.

20 Upon information and belief, Defendant C.R. England and Sons, Inc. is the former
21 name of Defendant C.R. England, Inc. and, as such, is no longer a viable legal entity.

22 Upon information and belief, Defendant Premier Trucking, Inc. is a DBA of
23 Defendant C.R. England, Inc.

24 [Dkt. 5 at 2:25-3:2.] It is unclear why Defendants C.R. England, Inc. has made these allegations
25 "upon information and belief," rather than upon its personal knowledge of its own corporate
26 records and activities. Accordingly, on or before Friday, March 14, 2014, Removing Defendants
27 must file with the Court sufficient proof and explanation of the citizenship of these entities, so the
28

1 Court may properly evaluate whether the case should be remanded to state court. Failure to do so
2 will result in remand of this case.

3 Dated: March 6, 2014.

4 

5 _____
6 ANDREW P. GORDON
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28